REMARKS

In the Office Action of June 8, 2006, the Examiner allowed claims 19 through 21 and 23 through 25, and objected to claims 22 and 26. The Examiner correctly indicated that added elements in claims 22 and 26 could not depend from claim 19.

The undersigned wishes to thank Khoi H. Tran for the recent telephonic interview. During that discussion, applicant indicated that the above amendments would be submitted to render old claims 22 and 26 independent, and also having dependant claims identical to already allowed claims 20, 21, 23, 24, and 25. It is believed that the above amendments are fully consistent with the discussion with Examiner Khoi H. Tran

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is urged that claims 27 through 38, should be allowed. Claims 19 through 21 and 23 through 25 having already been allowed and remain in the case. An early and favorable response is earnestly solicited.

Thank you.

Dated: August 2, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

EM RRR EQ 744570610 US

cc: Patrik Edenholm, Esq.

Kenneth P. Glynn

Reg. No. 26,893
Agent for Applicant

24 Mine Street

Flemington, NJ 08822-1598

(908) 788-0077 Tele (908) 788-3999 Fax

Alen Burnett

KPG/LBH

Enclosures